The opinion in support of the decision being entered today was **not** written for publication and is **not** binding precedent of the Board.

Paper No. 17

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

MAILED

1 %

JAN 23 2002

PAT. & T.M. OFFICE DARD OF PATENT APPEAL IND INTERFERENCES Ex parte JIGISH D. TRIVEDI

Appeal No. 2002-0043 Application 08/915,658

ORDER REMANDING TO EXAMINER

An Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) was filed November 3, 1997 (Paper No. 2). It is not apparent from the record that the examiner considered the statement submitted nor notified applicants of why his submission did not meet the criteria set forth in 37 CFR §§ 1.97 and 1.98.

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that the application is remanded to the Examiner for such consideration of the Information Disclosure Statement and for such further action as may be appropriate.

Appeal No. 2002-0043 Application 08/915,658

, \$ L

It is important that the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences be informed promptly of any action affecting the appeal (i.e., abandonment, issue, reopening prosecution).

BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Ву:

CRAIG R. FEINBERG

Program and Resource Administrator (703) 308-9797

gjh

CC: KILLWORTH, GOTTMAN, RAGAN
 & SCHAEFF
ONE DAYTON CENTRE, SUITE 500
ONE SOUTH MAIN STREET
DAYTON, OH 45402-2023